

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Magnetic Resonance 173 (2005) 134-139

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmr

Magnetic Resonance

# Reduced data acquisition time in multi-dimensional NMR spectroscopy using multiple-coil probes

Communication

Han Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Luisa Ciobanu<sup>b</sup>, Andrew Webb<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA <sup>b</sup> Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

> Received 21 September 2004; revised 18 November 2004 Available online 7 January 2005

#### Abstract

A new hardware-based approach is presented to reduce data acquisition times in multi-dimensional NMR spectroscopy using a multiple-coil probe. Using a four-coil setup, two-dimensional COSY and TOCSY spectra were acquired in one-quarter the time of conventional spectra by simultaneous acquisition of different effective  $t_1$  evolution times for each coil. Data processing consists of simple phase-shifting and intensity normalization of the individual data sets, and results in spectra almost identical to those acquired in a conventional manner. This method can potentially be integrated with other new data acquisition and processing schemes for further increases in data acquisition speed.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Multi-dimensional NMR; Multiple coils; Rapid data acquisition

## 1. Introduction

A number of new data acquisition and processing methods have been developed recently in order to reduce data acquisition times in multi-dimensional NMR spectroscopy [1-27]: a detailed discussion of the technical aspects of each method can be found in [27]. These methods are, in general, extremely useful in cases where the limiting factor in data acquisition time is not the signal-to-noise (S/N) but the time required for adequate resolution in the N-dimensional spectral domains. In the case of N-dimensional spectroscopy, filter diagonalization [1–7], Hadamard encoding [11–14], and single-shot acquisition [15–22] can be used for N = 2 and higher, and G-matrix Fourier transform [8–10] and projection reconstruction methods [23–26] for N = 3 and higher. The majority of the methods effectively result in a reduction in the number of time increments,  $t_1, t_3, \ldots, t_N$  required

E-mail address: agwebb@uiuc.edu (A. Webb).

to generate the *N*-dimensional spectrum, although the single-shot acquisition method is based on a fundamentally different principle of using magnetic field gradients to refocus chemical shift evolution.

The purpose of this study was to explore an alternative, hardware-based method in order to reduce the acquisition time in multi-dimensional NMR spectroscopy: this approach uses probes containing multiple coils. Such probes have been used previously for increasing NMR throughput [28,29], to implement novel methods of solvent suppression [30], to facilitate hyphenation with electrophoretic microseparations [31], and to follow reaction kinetics [32]. The basis of the proposed method is the physical "splitting" of a sample into M separate fractions placed in M coils which, with the appropriate pulse sequence, allows each fraction to experience a different  $t_1$  increment, in the case of 2D spectroscopy, thus reducing the data acquisition time by a factor of M. In this study a four-coil probehead was designed to interface with a commercial spectrometer with four receiver channels. As proof-of-principle, two-dimensional COSY

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1 217 244 0105.

<sup>1090-7807/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2004.11.024

and TOCSY datasets have been collected with the  $t_1$  dimension split into four sections: the spectra obtained were virtually identical to those obtained with a single coil, but were acquired four times faster.

## 2. Experimental setup

The construction of the four-coil probehead was very similar to previous descriptions [33]. Four separate solenoid coils were fabricated using 7 turns of 75-µm-diameter copper wire with a 6-µm-thick polyurethane coating, wrapped around a 600-µm-outer diameter and 450-µminner diameter polyimide-coated fused-silica capillary: the coil length was 1 mm which results in an observation volume of  $\sim 160$  nL. This coil size was chosen so that spectra of reasonable concentrations of small molecules (5-10 mM) could be acquired in a single scan. Impedance matching to 50  $\Omega$  at 600 MHz was performed using variable and fixed capacitors. The coils were mounted one above another with a vertical spacing of approximately 5 mm and alternate coils were rotated 90° with respect to each other to minimize the coupling: for all coils the isolation was better than 20 dB. The coils were surrounded by an 18 mm inner-diameter container filled with Fluorinert to minimize susceptibility-mismatch distortions [34]. Teflon flow tubes were attached to both ends of the capillary for sample loading. The sample was split into four equal parts and each sub-sample injected into each of the four capillaries via the separate Teflon flow tubes.

### 3. Methods

Two implementations of the generalized pulse sequence used are shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1A, a fixed delay equal to one-quarter of  $t_{1,max}$  is inserted into the successive  $t_1$  evolution times experienced by successive coils. In

comparison to an experiment using a single coil to acquire ni increments in the  $t_1$  dimension, ni/4 increments are acquired on each coil: the increment  $\Delta t_1$  is given by  $1/sw_1$ , where  $sw_1$  is the spectral width in the  $f_1$  dimension, as normal. In the second possible implementation, shown in Fig. 1B, a delay of  $\Delta t_1$  is used between excitation of successive coils. In the vast majority of practical situations the two approaches are essentially identical. In both approaches the data were acquired simultaneously on four separate receiver channels of the Inova system (Varian NMR instruments, Palo Alto, CA). Each receiver channel has an independent preamplifier, transmit/receive switch and analog-to-digital converter. Four single-pole-two-throw RF switches (Mini-circuits, ZSDR-230, switching time  $\sim$ 15 ns) were used to control which coil was connected to the transmitter at a particular time. The position of the switch is controlled within the pulse program via one of the TTL outputs from the Varian Inova console. During the relaxation delay all switches are turned off. Then the first switch is turned on to allow transmission to the first coil only: the switch is turned off after the 90° pulse. After the requisite time delay the second switch is turned on to produce a 90° pulse on the second coil and then turned off. This routine repeats until the fourth switch is turned on in order to transmit on the fourth coil. Subsequently, all switches are turned on for the rest of the pulse sequence. For the COSY sequence, the remainder of the pulse sequence consists of a second 90° pulse followed by data acquisition. For the TOCSY sequence, trim and spin lock pulses were applied to all coils.

The data set from each coil is an  $np \times ni/4$  data matrix, where np is the number of complex data points acquired in the  $t_2$  dimension, and ni the total number of  $t_1$  increments from all four coils. To reconstruct the complete two-dimensional spectrum from the four individual data sets one needs to correct for frequency and intensity differences between the signals acquired from



Fig. 1. (A and B) Two pulse sequence timing diagrams for the four-coil COSY experiment; the timing is identical for the TOCSY experiment with the final 90° pulses replaced by "trim" and spin-locking pulses. Values of *n* range from 1 to ni/4, where ni is the total number of increments in the  $t_1$  dimension for all of the coils, with the value of *n* incremented after each set of four simultaneous data acquisitions. Pulses were sent to individual or all coils using TTL inputs from the spectrometer to the four switches (see text for details, the typical switching time is ~15 ns). Data were acquired on all four coils simultaneously using the four receiver channels of the spectrometer.

the four coils. The former effects are due to slightly different local  $B_0$  fields within the four coils arising from the currents in the shim coils, in particular the  $z_1$  coil which imparts a linear magnetic field gradient in the zdirection. The current in this shim coil thus corrects local existing z gradients, but also results in the resonant frequencies in the individual coils being slightly different. Intensity differences can arise from small differences in coil performance as well as minor variations in the gain stages of the four separate receivers. A simple pulse-acquire spectrum was acquired from all four coils simultaneously, and the frequencies and signal intensities of the largest peak measured. Compensation for the differences in the local  $B_0$  field can be performed either in the frequency- or time-domain. For "magnitude-mode" experiments such as COSY, reconstruction in the frequency domain is simplest: the number of points shifted is given by  $\Delta f \times np/sw$ , where  $\Delta f$  represents the frequency difference between the sample in different coils. The spectra are normalized via the measured values, inverse Fourier transformed into the time-domain, interleaved and finally forward Fourier transformed to give the "combined" COSY spectrum. For "phase-sensitive" experiments such as TOCSY, the normalization steps are most easily performed by phase-shifting the data in the time-domain by an amount  $\Delta \phi = 2\pi \Delta f/sw$ , and applying intensity normalization. Data were processed with the Varian VNMR 6.1C software package and Matlab 6.5 Release 13 (The Mathworks, Natick, NJ).

### 4. Results

Fig. 2 shows COSY spectra acquired from the chloroquine sample (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, 10 mM in  $D_2O$ ) in a single coil in the probe with 1024 increments in the  $t_1$  dimension, shown in Fig. 2A compared to a COSY spectrum reconstructed from all four coils, each coil acquiring data at ni/4 increments. The measured intensity normalization was less than 5% between all the coils, primarily due to slightly different gains of the four receiver channels. Almost identical S/N values (measured as the intensity of the largest peak of each spectrum divided by the standard deviation of the noise) for the two spectra were found, showing that the data processing routine, as expected, incurs negligible intrinsic loss in S/N. Of course, were the total sample masses in the two experiments to be made equal by, for example, increasing the concentration in the single coil to 40 mM, the S/N of the spectrum from the proposed technique would be one-quarter that of the single coil. Thus, as with other rapid spectroscopic techniques, one has a S/N vs. time trade-off. Although chloroquine is a good model compound in terms of widely dispersed chemical shifts over  $\sim 8$  ppm, it does not contain significant scalar coupled fine-structure. In order to compare



Fig. 2. Comparison of COSY data collected using a single coil and the four-coil probe on a solution of 10 mM chloroquine in D<sub>2</sub>O. (A) COSY spectrum of chloroquine acquired with a single coil, data matrix  $1024 \times 1024$ , nt = 2, sw = 5000 Hz, sw<sub>1</sub> = 5000 Hz, relaxation delay 2 s, data acquisition time 68 min, (B) reconstructed COSY spectrum of chloroquine acquired with the four-coil probe, data matrix  $1024 \times 256 \times 4$ , data acquisition time 17 min. The data were processed by zero-filling in  $t_1$  to 2048 data points with shifted sine-bell apodization in both dimensions, symmetrized and displayed in magnitude mode.

the new method on a more challenging sample, COSY spectra were also acquired on a sample of fructose (10 mM in  $D_2O$ ). The single coil spectrum comprised of 512  $t_1$  increments, with a corresponding number of 128 increments per coil for the four-coil experiment. As can be seen in Figs. 3A and B, as well as the associated traces, the spectra are very similar. A "difference spectrum," Fig. 3C, shows that there are small signal variations between the two sets of data, but that no "extra" peaks are introduced and none are missing, and that spectral interpretation would be the same from either data set.

Fig. 4 shows conventional phase-sensitive TOCSY spectra and reconstructed TOCSY spectra of chloroquine using the same data acquisition approach. Again, both conventional and four-coil acquisition schemes display very similar spectral information. The traces also show that this new approach does not present any additional problems in terms of phasing datasets acquired in phase-sensitive mode. Fig. 5 shows corresponding TOCSY data from fructose.

#### 5. Discussion and conclusions

This paper has presented a simple method to reduce the data acquisition time in multi-dimensional NMR



Fig. 3. Comparison of COSY data collected using a single coil and the four-coil probe on a solution of 10 mM fructose in D<sub>2</sub>O. (A) Zoomed region to show the fine structure acquired with a single coil, data matrix  $512 \times 512$ , nt = 2, sw = 1250 Hz, sw<sub>1</sub> = 1250 Hz, relaxation delay 2 s, data acquisition time 34 min, (B) reconstructed COSY spectrum of fructose acquired with the four-coil probe, data matrix  $512 \times 128 \times 4$ , data acquisition time 8 min. The traces displayed are at 3.43 ppm. The data were processed by zero-filling in  $t_1$  to 2048 data points with shifted sine-bell apodization in both dimensions, the data were then symmetrized and displayed in magnitude mode. (C) A difference spectrum between the data displayed in (A) and (B).



Fig. 4. As for Fig. 2 but using a phase-sensitive TOCSY sequence with States acquisition, four signal averages, and mixing times of 80 ms. The data were zero-filled in the  $t_1$  dimension to 1024 points, apodized with a gaussian window function applied in both dimensions, and then phased. (A) Single coil and (B) reconstructed four-coil data. A trace at 1.7 ppm in  $f_1$  is shown.

spectroscopy using multiple coils. The scheme requires minimal hardware additions, software modifications, and data processing algorithms. The sample can either be split into different fractions in small capillary tubes, or flow-through sample loading [28] can be used. Clearly, for practical rapid data acquisition the number of  $t_1$  increments might be much lower than for the spectra acquired in this paper. Further reductions in data acquisition time by a factor of 2 could also be realized by using gradient versions of the COSY and TOCSY sequences. As with all multi-dimensional NMR experiments, drifts in the main magnetic field or changes in signal intensity could potentially cause artifacts, i.e., extra peaks, in the reconstructed spectra. Although a lock-channel was not incorporated into the current probe design, since the measured field drift is well below 5 Hz per hour, this is very simple to implement and has been shown in many previous microcoil-based probes. Measurements of the time-dependent intensities from the four coils showed less than a 1% variation over the course of the experiment.

These initial experiments used a four-coil probe, which matches the number of available receiver channels on the spectrometer: however, the experiments could equally well be carried out with a single receiver channel and "staggered" data acquisition [33]. Although the use of multiple receiver channels is not yet routine in highresolution NMR spectrometers, all major manufacturers now offer at least four channels. This development parallels that in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) systems, in which systems of 32 channels are now available commercially, primarily for integration with parallel imaging techniques for rapid data acquisition [35–38]. Expansion



Fig. 5. As for Fig. 3 but using a phase-sensitive TOCSY sequence with States acquisition, four signal averages, and mixing times of 50 ms. The data were zero-filled in the  $t_1$  dimension to 1024 points, apodized with a gaussian window function applied in both dimensions, and then phased. (A) Single coil and (B) reconstructed four-coil data for fructose.

of high-resolution multiple-coil probes to 16 or more coils may be feasible, possibly through the integration of microfabrication techniques [39–41] which are ideally suited to issues of sample handling.

Extensions to three- and four-dimensional homonuclear and heteronuclear spectroscopy are also possible, based on existing designs for small triple-resonance and multi-frequency multiple-coil designs. The proposed technique can also be integrated with many of the new data acquisition techniques proposed recently. Integration with FDM, single-shot GFT and projection reconstruction would be transparent, although multiple transmitter channels would be required for Hadamard encoding.

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from NIH (GM53030 and 5P41RR016105-03), an NSF CAREER award (DBI 97-22320), and the Alexander von Humboldt Founda-

tion, Wolfgang Paul Prize. We also appreciate discussions with Dr. Daniel Raftery at Purdue University concerning this project, and acknowledge his original ideas on the approach used here. Finally we acknowledge Dr. Art Edison at the University of Florida, Gainesville for helpful comments on the manuscript.

#### References

- V.A. Mandelshtam, H.S. Taylor, A.J. Shaka, Application of the filter diagonalization method to one- and two-dimensional NMR spectra, J. Magn. Reson. 133 (1998) 304–312.
- [2] H. Hu, Q.N. Van, V.A. Mandelshtam, A.J. Shaka, Reference deconvolution, phase correction, and line listing of NMR spectra by the 1D filter diagonalization method, J. Magn. Reson. 134 (1998) 76–87.
- [3] H. Hu, A.A. De Angelis, V.A. Mandelshtam, A.J. Shaka, The multidimensional filter diagonalization method, J. Magn. Reson. 144 (2000) 357–366.
- [4] J. Chen, V.A. Mandelshtam, A.J. Shaka, Regularization of the two-dimensional filter diagonalization method: FDM2K, J. Magn. Reson. 146 (2000) 363–368.
- [5] J. Chen, A.A. De Angelis, V.A. Mandelshtam, A.J. Shaka, Progress on the two-dimensional filter diagonalization method. An efficient doubling scheme for two-dimensional constant-time NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 162 (2003) 74–89.
- [6] J. Chen, D. Nietlispach, A.J. Shaka, V.A. Mandelshtam, Ultrahigh resolution 3D NMR spectra from limited-size data sets, J. Magn. Reson. 169 (2004) 215–224.
- [7] G.S. Armstrong, K.E. Cano, V.A. Mandelshtam, A.J. Shaka, B. Bendiak, Rapid 3D NMR using the filter diagonalization method: application to oligosaccharides derivatized with (13)C-labeled acetyl groups, J. Magn. Reson. 170 (2004) 156–163.
- [8] S. Kim, T. Szyperski, GFT NMR, a new approach to rapidly obtain precise high-dimensional NMR spectral information, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 1385–1393.
- [9] S. Kim, T. Szyperski, GFT NMR experiments for polypeptide backbone and 13Cbeta chemical shift assignment, J. Biomol. NMR 28 (2004) 117–130.
- [10] H.S. Atreya, T. Szyperski, G-matrix Fourier transform NMR spectroscopy for complete protein resonance assignment, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 9642–9647.
- [11] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Frequency-domain Hadamard spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 162 (2003) 158–165.
- [12] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Fast multi-dimensional NMR of proteins, J. Biomol. NMR 25 (2003) 349–354.
- [13] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Two-dimensional Hadamard spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 162 (2002) 300–310.
- [14] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Fast multi-dimensional Hadamard spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 163 (2003) 56–63.
- [15] L. Frydman, T. Scherf, A. Lupulescu, The acquisition of multidimensional NMR spectra within a single scan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99 (2002) 15858–15862.
- [16] Y. Shrot, L. Frydman, Ghost-peak suppression in ultrafast twodimensional NMR spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 164 (2003) 351– 357.
- [17] B. Shapira, L. Frydman, Arrayed acquisition of 2D exchange NMR spectra within a single scan experiment, J. Magn. Reson. 165 (2003) 320–324.
- [18] L. Frydman, A. Lupulescu, T. Scherf, Principles and features of single-scan two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 9204–9217.
- [19] Y. Shrot, L. Frydman, Single-scan NMR spectroscopy at arbitrary dimensions, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 11385–11396.

- [20] B. Shapira, A. Lupulescu, Y. Shrot, L. Frydman, Line shape considerations in ultrafast 2D NMR, J. Magn. Reson. 166 (2004) 152–163.
- [21] B. Shapira, A. Karton, D. Aronzon, L. Frydman, Real-time 2D NMR identification of analytes undergoing continuous chromatographic separation, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 1262–1265.
- [22] Y. Shrot, L. Frydman, Spatially resolved multidimensional NMR spectroscopy within a single scan, J. Magn. Reson. 167 (2004) 42– 48.
- [23] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Reconstruction of the three-dimensional NMR spectrum of a protein from a set of plane projections, J. Biomol. NMR 27 (2003) 383–387.
- [24] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Projection-reconstruction of three-dimensional NMR spectra, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 (2003) 13958–13959.
- [25] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Fast reconstruction of four-dimensional NMR spectra from plane projections, J. Biomol. NMR 28 (2004) 391–395.
- [26] E. Kupce, R. Freeman, Projection-reconstruction technique for speeding up multidimensional NMR spectroscopy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 6429–6440.
- [27] R. Freeman, E. Kupce, New methods for fast multidimensional NMR, J. Biom. NMR 27 (2003) 101–113.
- [28] M.A. Macnaughtan, T. Hou, J. Xu, D. Raftery, High-throughput nuclear magnetic resonance analysis using a multiple coil flow probe, Anal. Chem. 75 (2003) 5116–5123.
- [29] H. Wang, L. Ciobanu, A.S. Edison, A.G. Webb, An eight-coil high-frequency probehead design for high-throughput nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 170 (2004) 206–212.
- [30] M.A. Macnaughtan, T. Hou, E. MacNamara, R. Santini, D. Raftery, NMR difference probe: a dual-coil probe for NMR difference spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 156 (2002) 97–103.
- [31] A.M. Wolters, D.A. Jayawickrama, A.G. Webb, J.V. Sweedler, NMR detection with multiple solenoidal microcoils for continuous-flow capillary electrophoresis, Anal. Chem. 74 (2002) 5550– 5555.
- [32] L. Ciobanu, D.A. Jayawickrama, X. Zhang, A.G. Webb, J.V. Sweedler, Measuring reaction kinetics by using multiple microcoil

NMR spectroscopy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 42 (2003) 4669–4672.

- [33] Y. Li, A. Wolters, P. Malaway, J.V. Sweedler, A.G. Webb, Multiple solenoidal microcoil probes for high-sensitivity, highthroughput nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, Anal. Chem. 71 (1999) 4815–4820.
- [34] D.L. Olson, T.L. Peck, A.G. Webb, R.L. Magin, J.V. Sweedler, High resolution microcoil 1H-NMR for mass-limited, nanolitervolume samples, Science 270 (1995) 1967–1970.
- [35] H.E. Cline, D.K. Sodickson, T. Niendorf, R. Giaquinto, 32channel head coil array for highly accelerated parallel imaging applications, in: Proceedings of the Eleventh ISMRM, Kyoto, Japan, 2004.
- [36] S. Moeller, P-F. Van de Moortele, G. Adriany, C.J. Snyder, P.M. Anderson, J.P. Strupp, J.T. Vaughan, K. Ugurbil, Parallel imaging performance for densely spaced coils in phased arrays at ultra high field strength, in: Proceedings of the Eleventh ISMRM, Kyoto, Japan, 2004.
- [37] C. Possanzini, M. Wantjes, M. Verheyen, S. Slegt, F. Visser, A. Jones, J. Overweg, MRI with a 32-element coil, in: Proceedings of the Eleventh ISMRM, Kyoto, Japan, 2004, p. 1609.
- [38] D.K. Sodickson, C.J. Hardy, Y. Zhu, R.A. Giaquinto, G. Kenwood, T. Niendorf, H. Lejay, M.J. Harsh, C.A. McKenzie, N. Farrar, M.A. Ohlinger, A.K. Grant, N.M. Rofsky, Twelve- to sixteen-fold accelerations of contrast-enhanced MRA using highly parallel MRI with a 32-element array, in: Proceedings of the Eleventh ISMRM, Kyoto, Japan, 2004, p. 327.
- [39] J.D. Trumbull, I.K. Glasgow, D.J. Beebe, R.L. Magin, Integrating microfabricated fluidic systems and NMR spectroscopy, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 47 (2000) 3–7.
- [40] C. Massin, F. Vincent, A. Homsy, K. Ehrman, G. Boero, P.A. Besse, A. Daridon, E. Verpoorte, N.F. de Rooij, R.S. Popovic, Planar microcoil-based microfluidic NMR probes, J. Magn. Reson. 164 (2003) 242–255.
- [41] J.H. Walton, J.S. de Ropp, M.V. Shutov, A.G. Goloshevsky, M.J. McCarthy, R.L. Smith, S.D. Collins, A micromachined double-tuned NMR microprobe, Anal. Chem. 75 (2003) 5030– 5036.